A Right.

Q Ripple Connect is very, very early stage RippleNet. And you are not sure at what point in time that was being worked on?

A Right. This is at the time -- this is right around the time where we thought we found a revenue model, which was the RippleNet strategy.

Q Was that the only revenue model that the company had?

A As this document says, we also considered the possibility that we might be able to monetize the XRP that we hold. But we didn't consider that revenue model as either demonstrated or reliable or, you know. So we -- we pivoted. We essentially pivoted away from a strategy that was exclusively focused on the use of the XRP Ledger to one that had the RippleNet component.

Q Why do you say that it wasn't demonstrated or reliable to say that you could make money from XRP at this time? We just looked at posts from 2013 where you are saying we are going to use XRP to fund the company.

Don't worry we are not going to fail.

98

```
1
                The company grew significantly since
      then. So just being able to pay the employees
 2
 3
      that we had in 2013 or just being able to, you
      know, maintain a co-working space that people
 4
      could work in, we were trying to build the
 5
      payment network. We were trying -- we had
 6
 7
      much larger ambitions at this time. Like the
      scope of what we were attempting to accomplish
 8
      had changed drastically.
 9
10
                MR. CERESNEY: And I do think -- and
      maybe we should this at the lunch break. But
11
12
      we should have the price chart, because I
13
      think it will help him situate in time.
14
                MS. WAXMAN: I have a document that
      will show the price. I don't think it's
15
      relevant. But if you want me to introduce it,
16
      I will.
17
                THE WITNESS: Well, the reason is
18
      that like if I knew what the price of the XRP
19
20
      was at that time, that would help me
21
      reconstruct what we would have been thinking.
      If you're asking me like what the company's
22
23
      strategy was around XRP, that was largely
```

driven by the price. We were very reactive

to -- the strategy was driven by the price.

24

25

```
99
 1
      So if I knew the price, I could reconstruct
      what we would have been thinking about the
 2
 3
      strategy based on that.
                MS. WAXMAN: Okay. So let's mark
 4
      this.
 5
                           (SEC Exhibit No. 74 was
 6
 7
                          marked for
                          identification.)
 8
                I'm showing you what's been marked a
 9
           Q
10
      Exhibit 74, which is an Excel spreadsheet that
      the staff created using information that you
11
12
      provided about your XRP sales.
13
                So the spreadsheet has several
      columns. We added the columns with the title
14
      "Price" and the column with the -- column with
15
      the title "Total." And the prices were either
16
17
      taken from the platform where the transaction
      was executed and -- or in certain instances,
18
      it's indicated that the price was taken from
19
20
      coinmarketcap.com.
                (The witness examined the document.)
21
                So the price in October 2014,
22
23
      sub-pennies.
24
           A
                Yeah. Yeah. So I -- I think at
      that time, it would have been clear that
25
```

100 1 Ripple could sustain a small operation; keep the lights on, pay a small number of 2 employees. But not build an enterprise payment 3 network. 4 Is it fair to say nobody expected the company to raise over a billion dollars 6 from sales of XRP in November of 2014? 7 Did people -- yeah, that would have 8 A been incredibly fanciful and fantastic. 9 10 But that's not to say, like you said, there was some value to extract from XRP 11 12 and it could keep the lights on, it could pay 13 some operations? That's correct. It would ensure, 14 assuming nothing terrible happened, that 15 Ripple would not go away. 16 17 Again, in the paragraph at the end of the page, it says, "Ripple Labs plans to 18 retain twenty-five percent of all XRP issued 19 20 to fund operations, and hopefully turn a 21 profit. And distribute the rest to inset the 22 participation of market makers, gateways and 23 consumers to utilize the protocol. Given that 24 there is finite number of XRP, as demand for XRP grows, the value of XRP should 25

```
101
 1
      appreciate."
                Are they talking about price
 2
 3
      appreciation?
                I didn't write this and I can't
 4
      speak to what someone else would have meant.
 5
                What's your understanding from
 6
      the -- of the document?
 7
           A
                I read it as referring to price.
 8
                Then the next sentence says, "In
 9
           0
10
      this manner, Ripple Labs believes that its
      incentives are aligned with those of the
11
12
      protocol's users, both want the protocol to
      reach its full potential and scale."
13
14
                Do you understand that to mean both
      want the price of the XRP to increase?
15
                I don't understand that statement to
16
17
      mean that.
                What do you understand the statement
18
      to mean?
19
20
           A
                I understand that statement to mean
21
      that Ripple Labs is aligned with other XRP
22
      holders and other users of the XRP Ledger in
23
      wanting the XRP Ledger to be healthy,
24
      functional, useful and so on.
                It could also mean that they want
25
```

```
102
 1
      the price to increase as well?
                MR. CERESNEY: Could -- what's -- he
 2
 3
      said what he thought it meant. I mean --
                Could it also mean that --
           0
 4
           A
                It could.
                -- that -- could it also mean that
 6
 7
      they wanted the price increased?
 8
           A
                It could.
                MR. CERESNEY: Are you speculating?
 9
10
                THE WITNESS: I stated what I
      thought it meant.
11
12
                Did Ripple Labs ever discuss
13
      charging for use of the protocol?
14
                For use of the XRP Ledger?
           0
                Yeah.
15
16
                I had -- I have heard people
      occasionally discuss it, but no discussions of
17
      that would have ever gone anywhere.
18
19
                Why not?
           Q
20
           A
                Anyone who understands -- so you
      have to understand that like the idea of a
21
22
      public ledger was novel at the time and some
23
      people didn't really understand the concept.
24
      There were definitely some people within the
      company who sometimes thought "Well, we could
25
```

```
103
 1
      charge people for using the XRP Ledger or we
      could control it in some way."
 2
                And those ideas are all nonstarters.
 3
      They were never possible. But there were
 4
      times where people who didn't understand that,
      did advance those types of ideas. They never
 6
 7
      went -- obviously they never went anywhere
      because those types of things are not
 8
      possible.
 9
10
                So I know -- correct me if I'm
      wrong, I understand in 2014 there's two
11
12
      buckets of revenue for Ripple. There's
13
      software sales, product development and
      monetizing the XRP and creating value for the
14
15
      XRP.
                You can divide it into buckets that
16
      way, sure.
17
18
                How would you describe it?
                I think -- I think it's fair to say
19
20
      that the company, at times, would talk about
      like XRP revenue and non-XRP revenue.
21
                And what is the XRP revenue?
22
23
                XRP revenue would be revenue from
24
      the sales of XRP or indirectly from
      partnership agreements or something that we
25
```

```
104
 1
      would consider as equivalent to revenue.
               And non-XRP revenue?
 2
 3
           A
                Would be revenue from things like
      software sales, licensing agreements and so
 4
 5
      on.
                MR. CERESNEY: These were ideas at
 6
 7
      the time?
                THE WITNESS: At -- at this time, in
 8
      November of 2014, I don't know when the
 9
10
      company began programmatic sales of XRP. I
      knew. I don't recall. So I don't know what
11
12
      state those were in at that time.
13
           0
              Did the company also sell XRP over
      the counter?
14
                I don't know at that time. I know
15
16
      certainly subsequent to that, we did. I don't
17
      know for sure when that began.
               We have records of the XRP sales and
18
      I'll represent that they date to prior to this
19
20
      memo.
21
                MR. CERESNEY: But in small
      quantities? A small amount of revenue?
22
23
                MS. WAXMAN: We can look at the
24
      amounts, but yes. But they did exist. There
25
      were sales.
```

105 MR. CERESNEY: But all I'm trying to 1 establish is he's talking about models, not 2 actual execution of those models at the time. 3 In 2014, was the company in its very 4 0 early stages? Yeah, I think that's a fair 6 7 statement. Did it have any -- where you spoke 8 earlier, it didn't have any revenues other 9 10 than sales of XRP? There may have been minimum -- I 11 12 think there probably were not any other revenues at that time. Subsequent to that, 13 14 there were other revenue. But I think at that time, the only revenue source that we actually 15 materialized revenue from was, other than 16 investment, was the sales of XRP at that time. 17 Can you turn to page forty-five. 18 There's a paragraph with the subheading "The 19 20 Role of XRP." In the middle of the paragraph it says, "Over time, if the Ripple protocol 21 22 becomes widely adopted, demand for XRP may 23 increase leading to an increase in price. 24 Unlike information protocols like HTTP or SMTP, investors can directly own a stake in 25

```
106
 1
      Ripple, the value transport layer of the
      internet."
 2
 3
           A
                I see that.
                Did the company view XRP holders as
 4
           0
      having a stake in the company?
 5
           A
                No.
 6
 7
                So what did -- what was -- why not?
           0
                Because the -- because the XRP is a
           A
 8
      token not a public ledger that Ripple doesn't
 9
10
      own or control. Ripple stockholders own
      literal stakes in the company.
11
12
           0
                But they're talking about holding
13
      XRP or not Ripple's stock.
                MR. CERESNEY: He just gave you his
14
      understanding of the facts. Are you asking
15
      him why this says what it says?
16
                No. I mean I understand you only
17
      have ownership in Ripple, technically, if you
18
      own Ripple stock. But they're not talk about
19
20
      Ripple stock here. They're talking about the
      ownership -- people holding XRP.
21
22
                As I understand this -- and again, I
23
      didn't write it and I didn't see it at the
24
      time. It's hard for me to think of how
      someone would have understood this back in
25
```

107 1 2014. But as I understand this, at that time, the word Ripple was sometimes used to refer to 2 3 the company and sometimes used to refer to what we now call the XRP Ledger. And I 4 suspect that by "own a stake in Ripple" they mean to hold -- essentially being a 6 stakeholder in the XRP Ledger. 7 Well, they used the word Ripple 8 0 protocol in that paragraph. And it seems to 9 10 me that, the way I read it, seems like they're distinguishing between Ripple the company and 11 12 the protocol. 13 A That would be factually incorrect. I 14 would hope that nobody intended it to say that. But I agree that it is badly worded. 15 16 Whether or not it's true, whether or 17 not you think -- whether -- whatever you think it means, can we agree that it says that 18 investors can own a stake in Ripple the 19 20 company? 21 MR. CERESNEY: Hold on. Hold on. He's given you -- he's given you his 22 23 understanding. This document, didn't recall 24 seeing it at the time. He's given you what 25 his understanding of the facts was. And he's

108 1 also give you how he might read this document. Let me just point out one other 2 3 thing. The first sentence of that paragraph uses the word "Ripple" alone to refer to the 4 ledger. I mean, every use of the word Ripple -- "XRP's native currency of the Ripple 6 7 protocol," talking about the ledger. "It's a digital asset like Bitcoin. Most users of 8 Ripple will continue to use their local 9 10 currencies," talking about the ledger. Ripple is mentioned so many times 11 12 here. And every single time -- and you want 13 to say that in the last sentence it suddenly has a different meaning, where that's 14 factually incorrect. I don't read it that 15 way. I will concede it could have been better 16 17 worded. But I don't read it the way you do. 18 0 That's fine. 19 Going back -- so this is from 2014. 20 Does XRP fit into Ripple's business 21 now the same way it did? The same way it's described in the document? Meaning, after 22 23 this time, did Ripple use XRP to fund 24 operations? 25 A Yes.

109 And did it look to XRP to provide 1 its primary source of revenues? 2 3 I would say as a factual matter, more revenue came from the XRP than other 4 5 sources. Do you want to qualify that answer? 6 7 Yeah. I will say that that was --A that was -- that that was a matter of concern. 8 That that wasn't something -- that wasn't an 9 10 outcome that Ripple sought to have or that Ripple was -- you know, that wasn't an outcome 11 12 that we wanted. That was a source of concern. 13 0 Well, you just said -- the document 14 says that you sought to monetize the XRP and make a profit from the XRP. 15 16 Why do you say that it was a source 17 of concern or wasn't the outcome that the 18 company wanted? There were a number of -- there were 19 20 a number of factors. One of them was that we 21 had no control over the price of XRP. And you don't want to have a revenue model that's 22 23 completely outside of your control. If your 24 entire revenue source is coming from something 25 that's completely at the -- it's completely

```
110
 1
      dominated by what's going on in the
      cryptocurrency space, then what's your
 2
      business strategy? Your business strategy is
 3
      to hope the cryptocurrency space is more
 4
      successful, why do you have a business?
                So we were concerned about revenue
 6
 7
      that was under our control, revenue that was a
      result of your business efforts being
 8
      successful. Which at that time, they were
 9
10
      not. Although we had a large stream of revenue
      coming from XRP sales, that was not
11
12
      attributable to our business efforts. That
13
      was not attributable to our strategy.
14
                Like why are we hiring all these
      people to do all this stuff if we can't prove
15
      that that generates some kind of revenue?
16
      Yes, we have a lot of money. We can keep the
17
      lights on and so on. But what are we doing if
18
      we are not generating value?
19
20
           0
                Did the company hire people to
21
      engage in efforts to increase demand for XRP?
22
           A
                What time frame are we talking
23
      about?
24
           0
                Any time frame.
                I would say the company hired people
25
           A
```

```
111
 1
      to -- I would say the company hired people to
      encourage exchanges to list XRP, to provide
 2
      technical assistance to people who wanted to
 3
      use XRP to be sort of ambassadors of the
 4
 5
      utility of the XRP. The goal at that time
 6
      changed and was focused on things like
 7
      improving liquidity or improving knowledge and
 8
      understanding. But I think it's -- it's an
      incorrect characterization to say it was aimed
 9
10
      around increasing demand.
                MR. CERESNEY: We've been going for
11
12
      about an hour twenty-five.
13
                MS. WAXMAN: We can --
14
                MR. CERESNEY: When there's a good
     point.
15
                MS. WAXMAN: We can go off the
16
17
      record.
                But I just want to go back to the
18
      document on page seventeen and page
19
20
      twenty-five. Talks about increased demand for
      XRP. And how that would benefit XRP and
21
      benefit the company.
22
23
                MR. ALDEROTY: Are we on or off the
24
      record?
25
                MS. WAXMAN: We are on the record.
```

112 MR. ALDEROTY: I thought you said 1 that we were off the record. 2 3 A What's your question? Well, you said that the company was 4 0 5 not trying to increase demand for XRP and the document that -- the document, Exhibit 72 6 7 talks about how increased demand would help XRP and help the company. 8 A That's correct. 9 So at the time, were there efforts 10 to increase XRP demand? 11 12 A As I stated previously, like there 13 were efforts to promote adoption of the XRP 14 Ledger and to encourage people to understand what the XRP Ledger was doing, to make people 15 16 have easier access to the XRP Ledger. And I think -- but again, I don't agree with the 17 18 characterization that there were attempts to 19 increase demand. The motivation was not we 20 need to increase demand. The motivation was 21 we want people to be able to get use out of the ledger. We wanted people to understand 22 23 what its benefits are and then adopt it. 24 Was there -- was the motivation to 25 increase XRP liquidity?

```
113
 1
                Not at that time. But I think
      shortly after, it focused very much on
 2
 3
      liquidity. I think as soon as we understood
      that the strategy would be as a sort of
 4
      vehicle currency for enterprise payments,
      which I think was sometime in 2014, 2015, it
 6
 7
      became clear that liquidity was important.
 8
                MS. WAXMAN: It's 12:38. Let's go
      off the record.
 9
10
                (A brief recess was taken.)
                          (SEC Exhibit Nos. 75 and
11
12
                          76 were marked for
13
                          identification.)
                MS. WAXMAN: It's 1:30 and we are
14
      back on the record.
15
16
                Mr. Schwartz, I'd like to show you
      what's been marked as Exhibit 75, which is a
17
      post dated November 12, 2017 on Quora, a
18
19
      series of posts on Quora on November 12, 2017.
20
                (The witness examined the document.)
21
           A
                Yes.
                Before we broke, you stated that the
22
23
      company was not motivated to increase demand
      of XRP and that the company did not make any
24
      attempts to increase XRP demand. But if you
25
```

```
114
 1
      look at the second page of this document, at
      the very top, at the end of your post, it
 2
 3
      says -- starting from the previous page. It
      talks about -- it says, "After all, the reason
 4
      that we are doing this is to increase demand
      for XRP, to increase the value we can extract
 6
      from our stash of XRP."
 7
           A
                Yes.
 8
                So here, you are saying that the
 9
           Q
10
      company did want to increase demand of XRP.
                No, that's not what I'm saying at
11
12
      all.
13
           0
                Why don't you explain to me what you
      mean by what you said.
14
                So Ripple -- Ripple -- if Ripple's
15
      strategy was let's say to sell all of its XRP
16
      immediately, the value would -- the price
17
18
      would have crashed to zero. Ripple held the
      lion's share of the supply. So they're -- if
19
20
      this was not about increasing demand or about
21
      increasing price.
                This was about preserving the
22
23
      longevity of the ecosystem. And you notice, I
24
      chose my words, I think fairly carefully here.
      To increase the value we can extract from our
25
```

stash of XRP. You notice I didn't choose the word price and I didn't choose the word -- and what I meant was that -- that there was no way that we could monetize our XRP in any kind of short time frame.

Q Couldn't you preserve the longevity but also increase demand and increase price over a longer period of time?

A You could. And you could also preserve longevity with the price holding steady or even with the price decreasing. And all of those scenarios would produce more value for Ripple from its XRP than if you, imagine, for example, Ripple just attempted to sell it all in a short-term.

Q How would a price decline produce for value? That, I don't understand.

A No -- I understand what you are saying. So contrast two scenarios. One where Ripple tries to sell all of its XRP as quickly as possible. And one where the price gradually declines over time. Ripple could make more money from its XRP in the scenario where the price declines over time than the scenario where Ripple tries to sell all its

116 1 XRP immediately and the price just immediately 2 crashes. 3 So this is not about the absolute value of the price, but it's about sort of the 4 area under the curve. The total amount of 5 money that Ripple could monetize from its XRP. 6 7 Which price is one factor, but time is another factor. 8 So what you mean is that you wanted 9 0 10 to increase demand for XRP to increase the price over a long period of time? 11 12 A Not so much increase the price. 13 Because you could imagine a scenario where the 14 price is higher, but the amount of XRP that Ripple sells is smaller. And Ripple still --15 16 but you realize it's less value. It's not as 17 simple as a higher price is better. It's -- it's sort of -- again, it 18 the sort of total area under -- if you imagine 19 20 Ripple -- if you imagine a scenario where 21 Ripple just sort of sells its XRP stash down to zero over a long period of time, price is 22 23 one factor but time is another. And the 24 strategy -- there wasn't a strategy to 25 maximize the price because that wouldn't

117 1 have -- because it was the time horizon that was the critical factor. 2 3 There's no way that the sales could have been accomplished in any kind of short 4 period of time. So it was more about the 5 longevity and the utility of the system than 6 7 it was about the price directly. Because pushing the price up in the short-term, 8 wouldn't have provided Ripple a significant 9 10 that way. I understand. But my -- I read this 11 12 to say that the goal was to maximize the price 13 over a long period of time. And I understand 14 why you would want to do that over a long period of time. Because if you attempted to 15 do it in a short period of time by selling 16 massive amounts of XRP, there was a very good 17 chance that the price would plummet. And that 18 wouldn't benefit Ripple at all. 19 20 A I don't think it's fair to 21 characterize that as trying to maximize the price. I think I've described what we were 22 23 trying to do. I don't agree with your 24 characterization. 25 What's the relationship between the

```
118
 1
      price of XRP and Ripple's -- the company's
      valuation?
 2
 3
                I think that -- I think they've
      largely been uncorrelated. There have been
 4
      times where they've appeared correlated. I
      think one key area where they did appear to be
 6
 7
      correlated was during the bull run. During
      the period of time where the price of XRP was
 8
      appreciating rapidly. I think other than
 9
10
      that, they've been fairly uncorrelated. And I
      would specifically look at like recently where
11
12
      Ripple has been extremely successful. And
13
      Ripple's valuation has been doing very well,
14
      as reflected in both the secondary market in
      the investment round and the price of XRP
15
      hasn't -- hasn't moved significantly.
16
17
                Does the company -- has the company
      valued itself -- has the company made
18
      statements about its own valuation based on
19
20
      the price of XRP?
21
                I believe the company has made
           A
      statements that say that the company holds
22
23
      XRP. And therefore, one of the assets that the
24
      company has is its XRP. Therefore, if you
25
      look at the price of XRP as sort of a proxy
```

```
119
 1
      for the value that Ripple might extract, then
      that would be one element that you would use
 2
      in valuating the company. But I don't think
 3
      it goes beyond that.
 4
                MR. DANIELS: I just have one follow
 5
      up question about the Quora post.
 6
 7
                If you look at the original
      question, at the top of the first page, it's
 8
      "Considering that the banks don't use XRP
 9
10
      coins for their transactions, how can the XRP
      price go high even if the banks adopt the
11
12
      Ripple platform?"
13
                It's unclear to me if they mean
14
      whether they adopt or don't adopt the Ripple
      platform. But from the question, they're
15
      clearly talking about the price of XRP; is
16
17
      that right?
                THE WITNESS: The question is
18
      talking about the price of XRP.
19
20
                MR. DANIELS: In your answer, you
21
      talk about how the price of XRP can be
      increased even if the banks aren't necessarily
22
      using it. For example, if Uber or Airbnb
23
24
      start holding piles of Ripple -- I'm sorry,
      XRP, thereby increasing the demand; is that
25
```

```
120
 1
      right?
                THE WITNESS: That's true.
 2
 3
                MR. DANIELS: Then in the second to
      last paragraph of your response, you say,
 4
      "These forces could be expected to increase
 5
      the price of XRP."
 6
 7
                Do you see that? It's the paragraph
      starting "This means they'll save money by
 8
      holding piles of XRP."
 9
10
                THE WITNESS: I see -- it's a little
      hard to read in my copy. But yes, I do see
11
12
      that now.
13
                MR. DANIELS: So just to read that
14
      paragraph in case you can't see it.
                "This means they'll save money by
15
      holding piles of XRP sufficient to adapt the
16
      timing of these two operation and they'll be
17
18
      adding to XRP demand. These forces could be
19
      expected to increase the price of XRP."
20
                THE WITNESS: Yes, I do see that.
21
                MR. DANIELS: Then you say, "The
      same logic can apply to all kinds of companies
22
23
      that make payments around the world."
24
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
25
                MR. DANIELS: Not just Uber or
```

```
121
 1
      Airbnb?
                THE WITNESS: That's true.
 2
 3
                MR. DANIELS: And then you say,
      "That's what Ripple is betting on. After all,
 4
      the reason we are doing this is to increase
 5
      the demand for XRP. To increase the value, we
 6
 7
      can extract marked stash of XRP."
                I just want to be clear, in the
 8
      paragraph before, you are talking about how
 9
10
      these companies holding large stashes of XRP,
      will increase the demand and thereby increase
11
12
      the price.
13
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
14
                MR. DANIELS: But in your response
      to Ms. Waxman, I think you were saying you
15
      weren't necessarily referring to price of XRP
16
17
      in the last paragraph.
                THE WITNESS: This is to some
18
      extent, a response to -- as you said, this is
19
20
      to some extent, a response to the question.
21
      And the question was about the price. So to
      some extent, I took an answer that reflects my
22
23
      own thinking and adapted it to be a little bit
      more responsive to the question.
24
25
                MR. DANIELS: Okay. So just to be
```

```
122
 1
      clear, in the last paragraph, you are
      saying -- you are not necessarily talking
 2
      about increasing the price of XRP?
 3
                THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think what I
 4
 5
      tried to do here in the last paragraph is kind
      of tie it back to more -- I think what I'm
 6
 7
      trying to do is, I'm trying to be responsive
      to the question. But I'm also trying to
 8
      accurately reflect my thinking. I think you
 9
10
      can see a sort of pattern where some of it is
      more responsive to the question and some of it
11
12
      is a little bit more precise in nuance to
13
      better reflect my own thinking.
14
                Okay. Earlier, we were talking a
      little bit about giveaways. And in connection
15
      with the giveaways, how much did Ripple give
16
      away? How much XRP to each individual user?
17
18
                I believe it initially was around
      50,000 XRP. And then the amounts rapidly
19
20
      dropped. Or dropped over time anyway.
21
           0
                Why did they rapidly drop?
           A
                I don't know.
22
23
           Q
                Why did you choose to give 50,000?
24
                MR. CERESNEY: "You" being Ripple as
      opposed to "you" being Mr. Schwartz?
25
```

```
123
                Was it your decision how much to
 1
 2
      give?
 3
           A
                It was not my decision.
                Whose decision was it?
           0
                I believe it was Jed McCaleb, but
      I'm not certain.
 6
 7
                Did you discuss with him what amount
      would be beneficial or appropriate?
 8
                I don't remember having a discussion
 9
10
      about that.
                When did the amount that was given
11
12
      away rapidly drop?
13
                So that drop from 50,000 down to, I
14
      think, around 25,000 occurred during a
      giveaway that we give on the BitcoinTalk
15
      Forum. That was our very first giveaway.
16
17
      Other than sort of informally when people just
      asked us for XRP so they could use the ledger.
18
19
      The one on the BitcoinTalk Forum was our first
20
      giveaway that was sort of planned and executed
21
      as a process. During the course of that
22
      giveaway, I don't recall -- I don't recall
23
      where that was. But the forum threading which
24
      that took place is easily accessible.
                So the company's first giveaway
25
```

```
124
 1
      occurred through Bitcoin's talk forum?
           A
                That's correct.
 2
 3
                They gave away 25,000 XRP per give
      away?
 4
                I believe it started at 50,000 and
      then dropped to 25,000. It may have dropped a
 6
 7
      little bit more. After that, I don't recall.
           0
                So I was -- I'm a little confused.
 8
                The one on BitcoinTalk, it started
 9
10
      at 50?
                That's correct.
11
           A
12
           Q
                Then it quickly dropped to 25?
13
           A
                I think so. I think participation
      was greater than we had anticipated. Or --
14
      I'm not really sure.
15
                Any other reason?
16
                Not that I know of.
17
           A
                Was it because XRP started to have
18
           0
      value and people were trading XRP?
19
20
           A
                I don't think there was that at that
21
      time. But it might have been that people
22
      anticipating that, were sort of getting other
23
      people to participate in the giveaway for
24
      them. That there was people who anticipated
      that it might have future value or future
25
```

```
125
 1
      utility or something like that, who were then
      getting other people to participate in the
 2
      giveaway. But I don't recall if that was a
 3
      concern that early specifically.
 4
                Was there a concern at some point
      that people were scamming the giveaway?
 6
 7
                There certainly -- that certainly
           A
      became a concern later. But I don't think
 8
      that was a concern that early.
 9
10
                I want to show you what's been
      marked as Exhibit 76, which is a thread from
11
12
      the Bitcoin Forum in 2017. And the part that
13
      talks about giveaways is on page twenty-eight.
      At the bottom of the page, they're numbered.
14
                MR. CERESNEY: Twenty-eight?
15
16
                MS. WAXMAN: Twenty-eight.
17
           A
                This refect -- this refreshes my
      recollection.
18
                Oh, good.
19
           Q
20
                MR. CERESNEY: Perhaps next time you
21
      can show it to him before he speculates.
                THE WITNESS: It's okay. I just
22
23
      love randomly speculating.
24
                MR. CERESNEY: Yeah. Let's not do
25
      that. Okay?
```

```
126
 1
                MS. WAXMAN: We'll both be cognizant
      of that going forward.
 2
                (The witness examined the document.)
 3
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
                Do you recall a significant fraction
 5
      of giveaways going to scammers?
 6
                I think it was our concern that that
 7
           A
      was happening. I don't -- I don't know that
 8
      that was what happened with that first
 9
      BitcoinTalk giveaway. But that was definitely
10
      a concern with the way giveaways were
11
12
      operating.
13
                If the value of XRP at the time was
      so low, why didn't you give away more XRP as
14
      part of these giveaways?
15
16
                Whatever amount we had picked, you
17
      could ask that same question. There was no --
      there was no baseline to compare it to. You
18
      could also ask why didn't we give away less.
19
20
      To my understanding, the number was just
21
      picked just because it sounded good. There
22
      was no particular basis for the number. There
23
      was no sort of economic justification for the
24
      number, so far as I know.
25
                Was the gateway strategy successful?
```

```
127
 1
                MR. CERESNEY: Give away.
                I would say it was -- it was --
 2
           A
 3
                MS. WAXMAN: Giveaway. Thank you.
                THE WITNESS: Sorry. The giveaway
 4
 5
      strategy?
                MR. CERESNEY: We'll get to the
 6
 7
      gateway strategy?
 8
                I would say it was initially
           A
      successful. And then over time, it became no
 9
10
      longer practical. Specifically because as
11
      the -- as there became more of a plausible
12
      possibility that the token would have an
13
      economic value, there was more of an incentive
      to gain the giveaway. I think the early
14
      giveaways were fairly successful. And the
15
      later ones, much less so.
16
17
                At the point that the token became
      valuable, it became less successful?
18
19
           A
                Correct.
20
           Q
                If you turn to page twenty-one --
21
                MR. CERESNEY: Just to be clear,
      less -- when you say, "valuable," it still was
22
23
      worth -- it would be even more valuable for
24
      purchase.
25
                MS. WAXMAN: Yes.
```

```
128
 1
                MR. CERESNEY: Is that fair?
                THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, the
 2
 3
      cost to gain the giveaways is quite low. Even
      sub-penny prices.
 4
 5
                MR. CERESNEY: What page?
                MS. WAXMAN: Twenty-one. Post
 6
 7
      number forty-seven.
 8
                (The witness examined the document.)
           A
                Yes.
 9
10
                In this post, you talk about why a
      higher price is important for the company.
11
                MR. CERESNEY: I think it says,
12
13
      "helps us in several important ways." As
14
      opposed to is important for the company.
                I'll read it. Says the question is
15
      "Somehow, it seems to me based on your
16
      business model, that you'd rather prefer the
17
18
      price to remain stable." Then you write,
      "Stable is definitely better than volatile,
19
20
      even with some net increase. But a higher
21
      price helps us in several important ways.
22
      One, a higher price means we can sell XRP for
23
      more money and then increase our funding.
24
      Two, a higher price will be perceived as
25
      success. Perceived success helps drive
```

```
129
 1
      adoption. Three, you can't use XRP to bridge
      a million-dollar transaction today because you
 2
 3
      take huge losses from moving the price. We
      want XRP to bridge large transactions."
 4
                Yes. I did say -- I wrote that.
                Why did you -- why did you say, "a
 6
 7
      higher price will be perceived as success"?
                It was my belief at the time that
 8
           A
 9
      people judged the success of cryptocurrency
10
      projects based on the price of their token. I
      don't think that's an accurate way to judge
11
12
      their success. But it was simply a fact that
13
      people judged the projects that way.
14
                MR. DANIELS: Sorry. Just to be
      clear, when you say, "perceived as success,"
15
16
      you mean success for Ripple?
                THE WITNESS: No. I -- well --
17
      honestly, I'm not clear -- I'm not precisely
18
      clear what I -- are you asking what I mean
19
20
      here or just what my assessment is of what the
      situation was at that time?
21
22
                MR. DANIELS: I think what you meant
23
      here. And then also, the way you just
24
      described it. You said people perceived a
25
      success of the project based on the price of
```

130 1 its token. THE WITNESS: I think what I meant 2 3 here was the success of the XRP Ledger as a project. But I do think at that time it was 4 also a fact whether -- whether valid or not that some people did judge -- did use the 6 7 price of XRP as sort of a proxy for the success of Ripple as a company. Whether they 8 should have or shouldn't have, I do think it 9 10 is a fact that that was going on at that time. Also, under number one, "The more 11 12 money you could extract from XRP sales would 13 lead to more funding for Ripple Labs." Yeah. This is more of a sort of a 14 short-term argument. 15 16 Can you explain what you mean. 17 A So the revenue that we get from XRP depends on the short-term price. Like, you 18 know, from -- from time period to time period. 19 20 0 If you look at page nineteen --21 MR. CERESNEY: Which one here? At the very bottom, number 22 23 forty-three. The last paragraph, you 24 distinguish -- you talk about "The difference between XRP and many other assets." 25

```
131
 1
                You're talking about the last
 2
      paragraph?
 3
                Yeah. The last paragraph on page --
      on the bottom of nineteen. Starting with "On
 4
      the other hand, we do hold an awful lot of
 6
      XRP."
 7
           A
                Yes. yes.
                In your opinion, what was the
 8
           0
      difference, the big difference, between XRP
 9
      and other assets?
10
                The -- the difference at that time
11
12
      between -- well, there were a number. But the
13
      one I was highlighting here was that there
      was -- there was an entity that held a
14
      significant amount of XRP that it didn't have
15
      to expend funds to acquire.
16
                You are talking about Ripple Labs?
17
           0
           A
                Yes.
18
                What did you mean by "we will -- we
19
20
      will be good stewards"?
21
                MS. WAXMAN: Bless you.
22
                MR. CERESNEY: Thank you.
23
                I think I was primarily talking
24
      about the risk that we could crash the market
      selling a huge amount in a short period of
25
```

132 1 time. But I think I also meant that we would 2 continue to participate in the ecosystem. 3 What were you talking about when you talked about the plans to build demand? 4 I think I was talking about everything that we were doing at the time. 6 7 Which would have included the RippleNet strategy, and so on. 8 Does that include efforts by the 9 10 company to increase XRP liquidity? I think it would have included 11 12 everything the company was doing at the time. 13 0 And what did you say -- what did --14 how would these things that Ripple was doing effect the price of XRP? 15 I think what I'm suggesting here is 16 that if the XRP has more utility and more 17 people are aware of the utility and more 18 19 people are using the XRP Ledger, that will 20 generate demand that will affect the price. 21 0 But isn't that the case for every other digital asset and every other public 22 23 ledger? How does -- how does Ripple's 24 involvement distinguish XRP from other assets? 25 MR. CERESNEY: According to this

```
133
 1
      post?
                I don't know -- I don't know that
 2
      I'm saying here that -- I don't know that I'm
 3
      saying here that that specifically
 4
      distinguishes I it. This post is, at least to
 5
      some extent, about what makes XRP different
 6
 7
      from other public assets.
 8
                But I don't know that this paragraph
      is specifically -- this is more about -- more
 9
10
      about Ripple's relationship to the XRP
      ecosystem than specifically contrasting XRP
11
12
      with other -- with other cryptocurrency
13
      projects. I think there are participants in
      other cryptocurrency projects that hold large
14
      amounts of the token or in other ways have
15
      businesses that are connected with the
16
17
      operation of that project. I don't think I'm
18
      saying that's unique.
19
                Ripple's relationship with the
20
      ecosystem and its relationship to the XRP, are
      those different?
21
                I think you could describe them as
22
23
      different things.
24
           0
                How?
                Ripple's relationship to the XRP is
25
           A
```

134

that Ripple holds a lot of the XRP. Whereas Ripple's relationship to the ecosystem is that Ripple produces software that people use. And Ripple provides technical support for people who are building on top of the XRP Ledger. Documentation. The posts that I make to forums. Those kinds of things, I think, would fall more under the relationship to the ecosystem. Is it true that the more people who participate in the ecosystem and use XRP, the more demand there is and that benefits Ripple? A You can make that argument intellectually. I can't tell you that that argument is not true. But the paradoxical thing is that the actual evidence, the observational evidence we have, suggests that

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's not true.

It suggests instead that the price of digital assets, like XRP, is essentially exclusively based on perceptions of the sector. You would think that that would be true, and it's sort of a rational argument. But the evidence seems to suggest that the market doesn't perceive projects that way.

135 1 The market sort of perceives individual digital assets as part of a sector. And 2 doesn't distinguish at that level. 3 Two questions, what data are you 4 0 talking about? 5 Well, one example would be the 6 7 correlation of the prices of the digital assets. For example, during the bull run, 8 during the big appreciation of the price, 9 10 Bitcoin went up, the price of Ethereum went up, the price of XRP went up. And there 11 12 wasn't any -- those were huge moves in prices 13 by enormous factors that didn't seem to 14 correlate to anything that was going on in those specific projects. 15 And then as the dropped, all the 16 assets tended to move in tandem. There isn't 17 really good evidence other than, you know, 18 specific outlier -- like a small number of 19 20 specific outlier events where something 21 tremendously drastic happens. 22 There doesn't seem to be evidence 23 that price is driven by those sort of 24 traditional factors that you would reasonably expect price to be driven by. It just doesn't 25

```
136
 1
      seem that the market is operating that way at
      this time. At least not from the data that
 2
      I've looked at.
 3
                Did people at Ripple believe that
 4
           0
      certain events, Ripple related events,
 5
      caused -- had caused XRP's price to increase
 6
 7
      at times?
                No, we believed the opposite. We
 8
      were looking -- we were specifically looking
 9
10
      for evidence that would suggest that and
      weren't able to find it.
11
12
                Were there any instances? For
13
      example, the escrow announcement, did that
14
      have a positive increase on XRP's price?
                I don't remember the specific
15
      incidents. I do remember there was one
16
17
      incident where something -- there was some XRP
      related news or Ripple related news, and then
18
      there was a price increase. That's one event
19
20
      correlated in time. We were looking for a
21
      pattern. I believe there was one event that
      that did appear to happen. But because it's
22
23
      only one event, it's hard to say whether
24
      that's cause and effect or just
25
      contemporaneous.
```

137 1 Were there -- I think you went to say was an outlier. But are there -- could 2 there have been more instances where news 3 affected the price? 4 Not that we were aware of, where news let's say related to something that 6 Ripple had done, had impacted the price of 7 XRP. 8 I want to be even more specific. 9 Q

News related to not just Ripple or

RippleNet, but news related to XRP.

A One instance that I can think of was the coin based listing announcement. But I think that -- I think -- I'm trying to remember of whether that was an instance where there was an effect or there was not an effect. I don't remember specifically. But I remember we were looking at the data to try to see whether we could find those kinds of correlations. And we could not find a consistent pattern. But I believe there was

at least one incident where there was a

contemporaneous. That doesn't establish cause

24 and effect.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Was it the goal for XRP to

```
138
 1
      distinguish itself among other digital assets?
                MR. CERESNEY: When you say, "was it
 2
 3
      the goal," at what point and whose goal?
           0
                Was -- did the company and
 4
      especially -- were there statements made in
 5
      XRP markets reports where the company was
 6
 7
      saying at some point, you know, the XRP is
      going to distinguish itself. It's not going
 8
      to move in the same trend as other digital
 9
10
      assets?
                I think we anticipated at some point
11
      in the future that the assets would stop
12
13
      correlating. That at some point, the market
      would look at the differences between the
14
      projects and that that would be reflected.
15
16
      But you know, that was totally speculative.
      And there's no evidence that it's happened.
17
18
                Did the company stay state at
      certain times that XRP did -- was -- XRP's
19
20
      price was independent? Did they -- did the
21
      company make those sorts of statements in XRP
22
      markets reports?
23
                I don't recall any such statements.
24
           0
                Well, I disagree that XRP price was
25
      totally independent of Ripple related news.
```

```
139
 1
      Especially news that had to do with XRP, as
      opposed to news that just had to do with
 2
      RippleNet and non-XRP products.
 3
                MR. CERESNEY: Is there a question?
 4
                I mean, I'm not an expert --
 5
                MR. CERESNEY: I don't think there's
 6
 7
      a question pending. That's why I asked.
 8
                MR. DANIELS: While you are
      thinking, I just have a question because I'm
 9
10
      curious.
                Why were you doing the analysis as
11
12
      to whether the price of XRP moved based on
13
      Ripple specific announcements?
                THE WITNESS: It was -- it was an
14
      important question for us to have the answer
15
16
      to. There wasn't -- there wasn't any
      specific -- there wasn't any specific reason
17
      for it.
18
19
                MR. DANIELS: Why was it an
20
      important question?
21
                THE WITNESS: It's just one of the
      fundamental questions about what was going on
22
23
      in the business, in the market sector of the
24
      business in which we were operating. We kind
      of -- we wanted to understand what was going
25
```

```
140
 1
      on.
 2
                MS. WAXMAN: You can hold on to that
      for now.
 3
 4
                          (SEC Exhibit No. 77 was
                          marked for
 5
 6
                          identification.)
 7
                I'm showing you what's been marked
           Q
      as Exhibit 77. Which is a post on Quora, by
 8
      you. Dated January 9, 2020.
 9
           A
                Thank you.
10
                (The witness examined the document.)
11
12
           A
                Yes. Pretty recent.
13
           Q
                The second paragraph talks about the
      original strategy to give XRP away. And then
14
      second sentence says,
15
                This is what we talked about
19
20
      earlier, correct?
21
           A
               Mm-hmm. Yes.
22
                Earlier today, we spoke about who
23
      created the wallet that held the XRP. And you
      said Jed, correct?
24
25
           A
                Yes.
```

```
141
 1
                We spoke about how the ledger was
      reset several times.
 2
 3
           A
                Yes.
                We spoke about who had the ability
 4
      to remove -- to transfer funds out of the
 5
 6
      wallet.
 7
           A
                Mm-hmm.
 8
                Was there any agreement about who
           0
      held the rights to the XRP in the wallet?
 9
10
                I -- I subsequently found out that
      there was an agreement between Jed, Chris and
11
      Arthur about that. The so-called ledger
12
13
      agreement.
                And how did -- I'll show it to you.
14
15
                (The witness examined the document.)
                Yeah, that looks like it.
16
           A
                It's very hard to read. I
17
18
      apologize.
                           (SEC Exhibit No. 78 was
19
20
                          marked for
                          identification.)
21
22
                I'm showing you what's been marked
23
      as Exhibit 78, which is an agreement titled
24
      "Agreement with Arthur Britto." Dated
      September 17, 2012.
25
```

```
142
 1
                (The witness examined the document.)
 2
           A
                Yes.
 3
           Q
                Is this the agreement you were
      referring to?
 4
           A
                Yes.
                What was the agreement?
 6
           0
 7
           A
                The agreement states that the
      founders would divide the tokens among
 8
      themselves with a -- with a -- it's kind of
 9
10
      hard to read. But they'd have a specific
      split. And then each one of them would give
11
12
      eighty percent that they had taken to the
13
      company -- back to the company or to the
      company.
14
                So they would return back the tokens
15
           0
16
      to the company. Does that mean that --
17
           A
                Let me -- let me rephrase that. I
      don't mean that they would return it.
18
19
      that they would place it back -- they would
20
      take it out of one account and then -- there
21
      was sort of an unarmed account, and put it
      into an account that was owned by the company.
22
23
                Who did the original tokens belong
24
      to?
25
                I -- it's difficult to -- to make
           A
```

```
143
 1
      the concept of ownership fit to something like
      that. I don't think there's a way to answer
 2
      that question.
 3
                The company has stated that they
 4
           0
      were gifted the XRP. Is that true?
 5
           A
                Yes.
 6
 7
                Why is that true?
           0
                Each of the founders gifted the
 8
           A
      company eighty percent of the XRP that they
 9
10
      took out of that Genesis account.
                When was the Genesis account -- when
11
12
      was the XRP that they took deposited into that
13
      Genesis account?
                That would have happened each time
14
      Jed launched the software.
15
                So in order to effectuate the
16
17
      agreement, they had to reset?
                I don't know whether they did it
18
      themselves or whether they had -- they had a
19
20
      sort of automated process that would do it. I
21
      know they had the capability to do it in an
22
      automated way. Essentially what the system
23
      would do is, it would take a snapshot of the
24
      ledger. And then it would change the sort of
      format in which that data was stored, and then
25
```

```
144
 1
      it would continue running on the new format
      with the same data.
 2
                But the hundred billion had to have
 3
      previously existed before this agreement?
 4
                I believe -- I believe it did, but
      it didn't necessarily have to. I guess they
 6
 7
      must have been after they made the decision
      that a hundred billion would be the number of
 8
      units. And it may be that they did this after
 9
10
      they had done the division. And the concern I
      think was if somebody sort of threw away the
11
12
      agreed ledger and created a new ledger, they
13
      might not respect the original distribution.
      I believe that was the concern behind this.
14
      But I wasn't a part of that process and I
15
      didn't draft it, so I can only infer based on
16
17
      what it says.
18
                MR. CERESNEY: It does say that it's
      anticipated -- a hundred billion credit shall
19
20
      be -- suggests --
21
                THE WITNESS: That it hadn't been
22
      yet.
23
                MR. CERESNEY: Hadn't been done yet.
24
                THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know
      for sure. Again, I wasn't part of this
25
```

```
145
      process. I only saw this document when it
 1
      became public.
 2
                Were you involved in discussions
 3
           Q
      about what the agreement says about the
 4
      division?
           A
                No.
 6
                And the distribution?
 7
           0
           A
                I was not.
 8
                Going back to the original question,
 9
           Q
      who did the XRP belong to when it was created?
10
                I don't know that that's a
11
12
      meaningful question to answer. It's -- it
13
      doesn't fit the concept -- the concept of
      ownership doesn't fit what was happening at
14
      the time well.
15
                So why is everybody so -- why is --
16
      if -- why is Ripple publicly stating "We were
17
      gifted XRP"? Why is that significant?
18
                Are you asking why it's significant
19
20
      now or why would they have done what they did
      at this time if there was no -- if they didn't
21
      anticipate that it would be significant later?
22
23
      I'm not quite sure I understand.
24
           0
                Why --
25
                MR. CERESNEY: Do you have a
```

```
146
 1
      document where that's said? Because if you
      show him, that that might help him.
 2
 3
                MS. WAXMAN: I do.
                MR. CERESNEY: I think that's
 4
 5
      probably the better way to get at this.
 6
      Because I don't know if he understands the
 7
      context in which that was said, and I worry
      he's just speculating.
 8
                MS. WAXMAN: Okay.
 9
10
                So just going back to the agreement,
      the agreement was that XRP would be
11
12
      distributed among Chris, Jed and Arthur.
13
           A
                Correct.
                And who -- how much would each of
14
      them get?
15
16
                My understanding was that after
17
      the -- after the eighty percent was gifted to
      the company, Jed would wind up -- Jed and
18
19
      Chris would wind holding -- I think holding
20
      nine percent each and Arthur would wind
21
      upholding two percent.
22
                MR. CERESNEY: Is that your
23
      understanding or is that what this -- because
24
      this agreement seems to only cover the Britto
25
      portion.
```

```
147
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, that was my
 1
      understanding. This document doesn't seem to
 2
 3
      reflect that. That might have been a separate
      agreement or not. I don't know.
 4
                           (SEC Exhibit No. 79 was
 5
                          marked for
 6
                          identification.)
 7
                I'm showing you what's been marked
 8
           0
      as Exhibit number 79, which is a copy of
 9
10
      Ripple's Q4 2019 XRP markets reports.
11
                (The witness examined the document.)
12
           A
                Is there a specific section you want
13
      to call my attention to?
                Yeah, just give me a second.
14
           A
                Sure.
15
                I want to find my --
16
           Q
17
                If you look at the very first page
      under "XRP timeline" --
18
19
           A
                Yes.
20
           Q
                At the very end, it says, "In
21
      September 2012, Ripple was founded and was
22
      gifted a portion of this XRP." It starts out,
23
      it says, "In June 2012, a hundred billion
24
      units of XRP were created, with the
      stipulation that no more XRP will ever be
25
```

```
148
 1
      created. Later that year, in September 2012,
      Ripple was founded and was gifted a portion of
 2
      this XRP."
 3
           A
                Yes.
                Who gifted the XRP to Ripple?
                That would have been Arthur Britto,
 6
 7
      Jed McCaleb and Chris Larsen.
                But earlier, you said you weren't
 8
           0
      sure who was actually entitled to the XRP when
 9
10
      it was created, correct?
           A
                It's -- it's not that I know
11
12
      precisely what it means to be entitled and
13
      wasn't sure who that was. It that the concept
14
      of ownership entitlement doesn't apply well to
      that -- to that -- this particular -- it's a
15
16
      unique context where you would fire up some
17
      software and then these tokens would just
      exist. And then you might just stop, you
18
      might just shut the software down. And like
19
20
      if you say -- well, let's say I was employed
21
      by a company and I fired up the XRP Ledger
22
      software and it created a token and then I
23
      shut it down, that would be like -- like if
24
      you say the company was entitled to those
25
      tokens, it would be like I was destroying some
```

```
149
      property of the company, which doesn't -- that
 1
      concept doesn't really apply well at that
 2
 3
      time.
                But if you close the software, do
 4
           0
      the tokens cease to exist?
           A
                Yes.
 6
 7
           0
                Are they burned?
                They don't exist anymore. But
 8
           A
      someone could pick them back up. Someone
 9
10
      could launch the software again and then they
      would return into existence.
11
12
                Aren't there multiple versions of
13
      the software renning all over the world? So
      even though your version is closed, couldn't
14
      there -- I mean, the tokens could exist on
15
16
      someone else's computer and someone else who
      is running that software?
17
                Or they might not. And so to try to
18
      talk about the concept of ownership when there
19
20
      might be someone who could continue it or
      there might not, it just doesn't fit -- it
21
22
      doesn't fit well. I can describe to you the
23
      facts and circumstances, but I'm hesitant to
24
      characterize it as ownership because that
      carries a lot of meaning that doesn't really
25
```

150 1 apply to this situation. How would you characterize the 2 3 relationship between the XRP created and the people who created it? 4 I would say that the people who created it, launched the software. And then 6 7 they had these tokens that they could do whatever they want with or they could discard. 8 And if somebody else copied that ledger, then 9 10 they would continue to exist. And if nobody did, then they wouldn't. They were for 11 12 ephemeral at the time. 13 0 When people created the tokens, were they in discussions about forming Open Coin? 14 I know -- I know at approximately 15 16 the same time period as -- I think those two 17 conversations were going on at substantially the same time period. And they were the same 18 people, so there was probably overlap. 19 20 0 You can say that either it was Open 21 Coin who created it or individuals acting on behalf of Open Coin had created the XRP? 22 23 You could say Jed created it on his 24 own behalf. I want to know what your opinion is. 25

```
151
 1
                Was it individuals acting on behalf
 2
      of Open Coin, was it Jed individually in his
 3
      individual capacity who created XRP?
                MR. CERESNEY: Hold on. I thought
 4
 5
      the testimony earlier today was XRP was
      created before Open Coin was created as a
 6
 7
      company and then the company was created. And
      then after that, the first ledger was
 8
      created -- the first sort of version of the
 9
10
      ledger was --
11
                MS. WAXMAN: Became public.
12
                MR. CERESNEY: Became public. I
13
      want to make sure we have our chronology
14
      straight because you're kind of blending it
      together. When you're asking these questions,
15
16
      you're sort of bending the Open Coin with the
17
      XRP. I just want to make sure we are clear in
      the questioning because I want the record to
18
19
      be clear.
20
                Jed operated a cluster of servers
21
      prior to the company being formed. On which
22
      the -- sort of as close to live as we had at
23
      the time. Which was -- as close to live as we
24
      had at the time on which that ledger ran.
25
           Q
                Why does it matter who created XRP?
```